

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Parkin
<b>Given Name</b>	Daniel
<b>Person ID</b>	1285687
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Parkin
<b>Given Name</b>	Daniel
<b>Person ID</b>	1285687
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I live on REDACTED TEXT which lies inside the boundary lines of your proposed development.</p> <p>What will happen to my home ?</p> <p>It is a disgrace that yet again more homes are being built, Norden Road is already at full traffic capacity. It is a nightmare.</p> <p>I can not imagine how another 900 cars will be capable of using this already very busy road and that's guessing the 450 new homes will have 2 cars each on average.</p> <p>It will actually be more like 1200 extra cars.</p> <p>Andy Burnham is an embarrassment and a disgrace.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Ban the Development.</p> <p>And which idiot answers questions with 'Sound'</p> <p>And 'Unsound'</p> <p>Should it not be Yes and No ?????</p>